





# Some core themes emerged which need to be highlighted at the outset

amárach research

- They have limited knowledge.
  - They have limited knowledge of mortgages and how they work. They
    understand the concept of repayment in cash terms but not much more than
    that. The lack of knowledge makes them risk averse.

#### They have limited confidence.

- They fundamentally believe that mortgages and mortgage switching is complicated. Those who switched have more confidence (which is either why they switched or because they switched) but it would not be hard to knock them off track if required.
- They have limited understanding of the mortgage market, how it works and how it has changed.
  - Among the comments that emerged in the discussion were:
    - "you don't hear too much of the Scottish banks in Ireland nowadays"
    - "you are not allowed switch if your mortgage is less than €40,000"
    - "Is it hard to get a tracker nowadays?"
    - · "Any change [as a result of switching] would have to be guaranteed"
- And as a result of all of the above, many are risk averse in relation to mortgages.
  - "[...] provided my parents with their mortgage it's probably why I am still with them as a mortgage provider"
  - "I'll stick with the one I know"

## They will Happily Switch Every Other Product or amárach Service

- In all of the groups they switch service providers with considerable enthusiasm. What they switch varies from person to person, but they do all consider it to be a core part of financial management. The areas for review include:
  - Broadband; mobile; landline; electricity; gas; health insurance; car insurance; household insurance; main grocery store; current accounts; credit cards etc. etc.
- The 'Switchers' believe that they switch more than the people around them – and that they have often persuaded people about the benefit of switching. The rationale for switching includes the obvious benefits of financial impact and savings, but also includes a sense of control, empowerment and engagement.



### $\gg$ amárach Switching is a Core Part of Financial Management ... research What they save varies from service to ċ service. But typically they believe that they save about €500 in total per annum as a consequence of their switching behaviour. While the driver is cost savings, they $\otimes$ also initiate the switching process because they do not believe that providers have their interests at heart. They believe that they have to push for the best price and switching is the lever to give it. This is particularly true in the case of car and household insurance. They believe that if they stay loyal and quiet they will be taken advantage of. " Loyalty is shafted - its expensive to be loyal"

## And What they Switch is often Complex ...



- They switch service providers in purchase areas that if it went wrong could have a core and significant impact on their lives.
  - Health insurance.
  - Car insurance.
  - Home insurance.
- They also switch in service areas that also run the risk that if the move does not go smoothly it could have a disruptive impact on their lives. Broadband for example.
- They switch in areas that are inherently complex – the most extreme of which is health insurance, where the policy and choice complexities surely outweigh those of the mortgage market.

## But it comes down to a confident mindset

- There is a contradiction between the non mortgage switching behaviour and the switching behaviour.
- There are many reasons why non switchers shy away from the mortgage switching process. They include:
  - "I'd have to take a day off work"
  - "I'll stick with what I have"
  - "In Vegas the house always wins, with mortgages, the bank always wins"
  - "It's a mountain of paper work"
  - "You don't want to be looked at under a microscope again"
  - "It's a lot more hassle to switch a mortgage"
  - "The man in the street doesn't know what he is doing"
- One of the factors that needs to be considered is their lack of understanding of their own power as consumers in the process. They don't engage with the fact that the lender needs them as much as they need the lender, they don't understand how mortgages work and they seem to believe that the involvement of other players in the process, other than the borrower and lender creates an impenetrable complexity.
- And few seem to recognise that even if you get an offer you don't have to take it.













# ... and Believe that the Illusion of Complexity Suits the Banks



- There is a fundamentally different perception of the understanding of the complexity of switching mortgages to the complexity of switching other products.
- In an absence of trust or control, they believe that banks rely on jargon; that they won't act in the customer's best interest (and the customer doesn't know what that best interest is) and that they will engineer pitfalls.
  - "The man in the street doesn't know what he is doing"
  - "Why do they make switching so difficult?"
  - "You are supposed to know all the terminology"
  - "I wouldn't be able for the stress of it"
- And finally the evidence from the switching groups is that no bank that was being left, put up any resistance to the borrower's departure.





Brokers	amárach research
۵	For the minority who used brokers, they believed that brokers were on their side (more so than mortgage providers) and they believed that brokers had an expertise and insight that they personally lacked.
۵	But the majority did not think about brokers in the mortgage or switching context (even if they used brokers for insurance purchases).
۵	There was some discussion of conflict of interest (in that the broker derives income from the lender) and need to consider and be aware of this with the potential benefits of the availability of expertise.
3	Those who used brokers believed that they took the pain of mortgage applications away from the borrower – they looked after the paperwork, they were able to deal with multiple applications to different financial institutions.
۵	The majority of the switching group had not used a broker formally in the switching process. They had used other sources of advice – principally family and friends and one where a family friend happened to be a broker – but there is a clear opportunity for brokers to act in this area.
3	The purpose of these groups was not to examine the role and potential of brokers, but there is clearly consideration required of the role that brokers can play in highlighting and facilitating the switching process.

#### Fixed Rates and Extending Fixed Rate Terms amárach research Many had used fixed rates as part of their mortgage management process. The fixed rates $\otimes$ had provided certainty at the time of maximum financial vulnerability: At the outset of the mortgage when debt exposure was high At critically expensive times for their families – when children are small or when they are at school. While they like the certainty of fixed rates at specific times, they are more bothered about misreading market movements - so they are wary of being 'caught out' on a fixed rate that is materially different from the variable rate. There appears to be an emotional as well as a financial cost to getting this wrong – it underlines their sense of foolishness and ignorance. Some were on tracker rates - and so were not going to consider switching. $\bigotimes$ There was no sense in any group that people were aware that rates currently were well below historic rates or of any consideration that rates might rise substantially in the future. What was present now was perceived as normal. They have no sense or opinion as to whether the fixed rates available at present $\otimes$ represent good value in a 20 year context. $\otimes$ The absence of this awareness of interest rate movements (other than those on a tracker) and the risk of rates rising means that there is little appetite for a fixed rate of a 15 year or 20 year horizon. They are also unsure of the impact of locking into a fixed rate on their flexibility in $\otimes$ relation to home ownership - could they move house if they were locked into a fixed rate? They don't know enough to commit.



### For non switchers inertia and ignorance are key... amárach research They switch everything else as a matter of routine and almost of honour. $\otimes$ They provide numerous reasons as to why they won't switch mortgages The monthly mortgage repayment doesn't appear to cause them difficulty – this may be a function of the fact The reality is that they don't really know how much it will cost, how much they might save or what the process is. They are at sea. They need to know from the outset that they can complete the process $\bigotimes$ without damage to themselves or their tenure in their home. In our groups, it appeared that the majority were not struggling $\otimes$ financially with their mortgage - some seemed to be struggling with negative equity (and that acted as a barrier) and with the thought that they would have to lose their tracker mortgage if they moved. But fundamentally it comes down to risk and reward. They believe that there is significant risk in switching and relatively little reward. To be tempted to switch the figure of a saving of $\notin 100$ a month was mentioned.

## The rationale for switching has to be absorbed before other prompts will work

## amárach research

INCOMING CALL

ACC

Opportunity

- There is a macro requirement that people need to understand why they might even begin to consider changing-
  - There are savings
  - They can change the structure of their mortgage (term, fixed rate etc.)
  - It is straightforward but requires resilience and follow through
  - Other people do it.
  - There are people who can support and assist.
  - And fundamentally they don't have to take any of the offers that they get - it isn't inevitable that they have no choice at the end.
- They need to understand their role as the consumer or service acquirer. They are paying all of the service providers, banks, assurance companies, solicitors and surveyors.
- The opportunity needs to be dialled up, and the fear needs to be dialled down.



### Repossession

- In all the groups we sought to test the notion that a more streamlined shorter repossession process could result in making the market more attractive to newer players, increase competition and drive down the costs.
- There was not a visceral reaction to the idea of repossession. In all groups there was a recognised difference between those who were genuinely in trouble (who evoke huge sympathy), and a minority who were perceived to be gaming the system.
- The appetite for a truncated repossession process was limited particularly in the case of family homes. In the case of Buy-to-Lets, there was a sense that these purchases were commercial transactions and should be treated differently. In some groups there was an awareness of the knock-on consequences for tenants of a more aggressive approach to commercial repossession.
- They are also unconvinced of the benefit of a streamlined process for the mortgage market:
  - They are sceptical as to whether the banks would pass any benefits to the consumer.
  - They are unsure of what the benefits would be (which again goes back to their lack of financial insight and savvy)
  - They fear the substantial risk of it going wrong in the downside
- The core rationale for the possible change to attract competition and new players into the market is not one that they share. They believe that there is sufficient competition currently and they prefer the players that they know.





















